

Standardization of Nutrient Management for Cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.) Genotypes under High Density Planting System (HDPS)

S. Jaffar Basha^{1*}, E. Aruna², A. Sitharama Sarma³ and Y. Rama Reddy⁴

¹Seed Technology Research & Production Centre, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Jupadu Bunglow-518401, Kurnool Dist, A. P. India

²Agricultural Research Station, ANGRAU, Utukur-516001, YSR Kadapa District, A. P. India

^{3,4}Regional Agricultural Research Station, ANGRAU, Nandyal-518502, Kurnool District, A. P. India

*Corresponding Author E-mail: shaik.jaffarbasha@gmail.com

Received: 2.07.2017 | Revised: 29.07.2017 | Accepted: 3.08.2017

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was undertaken at Regional Agriculture Research Station, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh during kharif 2011-12 on vertisols to standardize nutrient management in arboretum cotton under High Density Planting System (HDPS). The experiment was laid out in split plot design having twelve treatments of arboreum cotton varieties and fertilizer levels and replicated thrice. Significantly higher seed cotton yield (1792 kg ha^{-1}) and number of bolls per plant (16.6) were recorded due to Yaganti. Higher seed cotton yield (1670 kg ha^{-1}) was recorded with 150%RDF (30-30 NP kg /ha) and was at par with 125%RDF (1612 kg ha^{-1}) and 100%RDF (1576 kg ha^{-1}).

Key words: Cotton, HDPS, Nutrient management, Seed cotton yield

INTRODUCTION

Cotton is a very important commercial crop of India; it sustains the cotton textile industry which is perhaps the largest segment of organized industries in the country. India has the largest area in the world under cotton at 12.18 M ha and is the second largest producer in the world with 35.32 M bales. However, India's average cotton productivity is $493 \text{ kg lint ha}^{-1}$ combining both irrigated and rainfed areas and is low when compared to other countries (cotcrop.gov.in). High Density Planting System (HDPS) leads to favorable canopy structure with decreased soil water

evaporation. The concept on high density cotton plantation was initiated by Briggs *et al.*², by adopting narrow row plantation. It has been reported that narrow row planting increase productivity of cotton¹. Earliness is the advantage of narrow planting⁴ (Rossi *et al.*, 2004). Due to narrow planting, though there is reduction in number of bolls per plant, it results into higher percentage of the total bolls in first sympodial position, while lower in second position⁹. The other advantages of narrow cropping include better light interception, sufficient leaf area and early canopy closure, resulting in weed control⁸.

Cite this article: Basha, S.J., Aruna, E., Sharma, A.S. and Reddy, Y.R., Standardization of Nutrient Management for Cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.) Genotypes under High Density Planting System (HDPS), *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5(6): 1251-1253 (2017). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5113>

Hence, present investigation was undertaken to find out optimum fertilizer management for the cultivation of cotton genotypes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Field experiment was undertaken during *kharif* 2011-12 at Regional Agriculture Research Station, Nandyal to standardize nutrient management in cotton under High Density Planting System (HDPS). The experiment was laid out in split plot randomized block design with three main treatments of arboreum cotton varieties, four fertilizer levels and replicated thrice. The main plot treatments comprised of arboreum cotton varieties Arvinda, Srinandi and Yaganti where as fertilizer levels employed were 75% RDF (15-15 NP kg /ha), 100% RDF (20-20 NP kg /ha) 125% RDF (25-25 NP kg /ha) and 150% RDF (30-30 NP kg /ha). The sowing was done at planting geometry of 45 x 15 cm by dibbling the seeds. Fertilizers were applied as per the protocol. The observations on Plant height, number of monopods and sympods plant⁻¹, number of bolls plant⁻¹, boll weight and seed cotton yield were recorded. The data were subjected to statistical analysis as outlined by Gomez and Gomez³.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height differs significantly among different varieties (Table 1). Significantly higher plant height was observed in Arvinda (160.3 cm) and was at par with Srinandi (152.0 cm). Plant height did not differ significantly among fertilizer levels. However, application of 150% RDF recorded higher plant height (152.2 cm). There was no significant effect of

varieties and fertilizer levels on number of monopodia and sympodia plant⁻¹. All the growth parameters did not differ significantly due to interaction effect of varieties and fertilizer levels. Number of bolls plant⁻¹ differs significantly among varieties and fertilizer levels. Higher number of bolls plant⁻¹ (16.6) was observed in Yaganti and was at par with Srinandi (15.7). Significantly lower number of bolls plant⁻¹ (12.8) was observed in Arvinda. There was significant effect of fertilizer levels on number of bolls per plant⁻¹. Higher number of bolls plant⁻¹ (16.6) was observed in 150% RDF was at par with 125%RDF (16.4) and 100%RDF (14.8). Boll weight did not differ significantly due to different varieties and fertilizer levels. Significantly higher seed cotton yield (1792 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with Yaganti and was at par with Srinandi (1599 kg ha⁻¹). 150% RDF recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield (1670 kg ha⁻¹) and was at par with 125% RDF (1612 kg ha⁻¹) and 100%RDF (1576 kg ha⁻¹). Higher seed cotton yield might be due more number of bolls^{5,6}. Yaganti was found most suitable for HDPS based on yield, morphological features and boll number. Bolls plant⁻¹ and seed cotton yield differ significantly due to interaction effect of different varieties and fertilizer levels. Pooled mean (2010-11 and 2011-12) of seed cotton yield for two years indicated significantly higher seed cotton yield (1801 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded with Yaganti. 150% RDF recorded significantly higher seed cotton yield (1700 kg ha⁻¹) was at par with 125% RDF (1646 kg ha⁻¹) and 100% RDF (1600 kg ha⁻¹).

Table 1: Growth and yield of arboretum varieties as influenced by different fertility levels under high density planting system

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of monopodia /plant	No. of sympodia/ plant	No. of bolls /plant	Boll weight (g)	Seed cotton yield (kg ha ⁻¹) 2011-12	Seed cotton yield (kg ha ⁻¹) 2010-11	Pooled Mean (kg ha ⁻¹)
Varieties								
Arvinda	160.3	1.65	19.0	12.8	2.85	1331	1453	1392
Srinandi	152.0	1.58	18.2	15.7	2.83	1599	1695	1647
Yaganti	133.0	1.55	16.2	16.6	2.75	1792	1809	1801
SEm±	5.7	0.09	0.96	0.84	0.03	80	61	36
CD(P=0.05)	22.5	NS	NS	3.3	NS	316	239	103
Fertilizer levels								
75% RDF (15-15 NP kg /ha)	146.1	1.44	18.3	12.2	2.71	1439	1574	1506
100%RDF (20-20 NP kg /ha)	146.1	1.88	18.6	14.8	2.73	1576	1624	1600
125% RDF (25-25 NP kg /ha)	148.2	1.66	17.5	16.4	2.77	1612	1679	1646
150% RDF (30-30 NP kg /ha)	152.2	1.42	16.6	16.6	3.02	1670	1731	1700
SEm±	3.91	0.16	0.75	0.89	0.20	63	38	42
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	2.6	NS	188	113	119
Interaction	NS	NS	NS	4.6	NS	326	196	206
CV (%)	7.9	26.3	12.8	18.19	21.8	12.1	6.9	10.9

CONCLUSION

Arboreum cotton variety Yaganti can be cultivated under high density planting system (45× 15cm) with the application of 150% RDF (30-30 NP kg/ha) for realizing higher seed cotton yield under rainfed conditions in vertisols of Andhra Pradesh.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Technology Mission on Cotton (TMC MM I 1.2) of Central Institute of Cotton Research (CICR), Nagpur and Sub centre at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Nandyal (ANGRAU), Andhra Pradesh for providing the facilities for smooth conduct of the experiment.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, A., Ali, L. Sattar, M. and Ali. M.A., Response of seed cotton yield to various plant populations and planting methods. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, **48(2)**: 163-169 (2010).
2. Briggs, R.E., Patterson, L.L. and Massey G.D., Within and between-row spacing of cotton - Arizona Annual Report. Univ. of Arizona Agric. Ext. Service, Arizona pp. 6-7 (1967).
3. Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A., Statistical procedures for agriculture research. Wiley Indian Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India (2010).
4. Rossi, J., Novick, G., Murray, J., Landivar, J., Zhang, S., Baxevanos, D., Mateos, A., Kerby, T., Hake, K. and Krieg, D., Ultra Narrow Row Cotton: Global Perspective and Reduce Pesticide use Proceedings of the Technical Seminar of the 3rd Plenary Meeting of the ICAC: How to improve yields. Mumbai, India. Nov 2004, pp. 7-11 (2004).
5. Shukla, U.N., Khakare, M.S., Bhale, V.M. and Singh, S., Plant population, nutrient uptake and yield of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) hybrids as affected by spacing and fertility levels under rainfed condition. *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, **47(1)**: 83-88 (2013).
6. Sisodia, R.I. and Khamparia, S.K., American cotton varieties as influenced by plant densities and fertility levels under rainfed conditions. *J. Cotton Res. Dev.* **21(1)**: 35-40 (2007).
7. Vories, E.D. and Glover, R.E. Comparison of Growth and Yield Components of Conventional and Ultra Narrow Row Cotton -*The Journal of Cotton Science* **10**: 235–243 (2006).
8. Wright, D.L., Marois, J.J., Sprenkel, R.K. and Rich J.R., Production of Ultra Narrow Row Cotton. University of Florida (UF), IFAS Extension. SSAGR- 83 (2011).